## **Appendix C: Normalization function**

The claim we wish to prove is that given an  $R \times C$  grid and the dynamic programming algorithm for summation over tilings given in Figure 8, when the score is constant, i.e.,  $h(R_L, R_H, C_L, C_H) = y$  for some fixed value y, the value returned by the algorithm is

$$f(R,C,y) = y(1+y)^{C-1} \left(1 + y(1+y)^{C-1}\right)^{R-1}$$
(1)

Proof. When  $h(R_L, R_H, C_L, C_H)$  is equal to a constant y it is independent of its four parameters  $R_L, R_H, C_L, C_H$ , and consequently the result given by the inner two loops (lines 10 to 17) is independent of  $R_L$  and  $R_H$ . Thus we can treat the inner pair of nested loops and outer pair of nested loops independently, meaning that the value returned by the entire tiling summation algorithm is equal to:

$$g(R, g(C, y)) \tag{2}$$

where g(n,x) is the value returned by just one pair of nested loops, namely:

```
Function q(n,x):
2
     Keep a running total: total \leftarrow 0
3
     Keep a cache: cache
4
     Init: cache[0] \leftarrow 1
5
     for i from 1 to n
6
          total \leftarrow 0
7
          for j from 1 to i
8
                total \leftarrow total + cache[j-1] \cdot x
9
          end for
10
          cache[i] \leftarrow total
11
     end for
12
     return total
```

Note that since total is reset to zero for each i (line 6), and since cache[0] is always 1, the value of total at a particular value of j is independent of the value of i, moreover the value of cache[j-1] is always exactly the value of total at the end of the inner loop when i=j-1 (due to line 10), hence an equivalent calculation is:

```
Second implementation of g(n, x):
1
2
     Keep a running total: total \leftarrow 0
     Keep a cache: cache
3
4
     Init: cache[0] \leftarrow 1
5
     for j from 1 to n
6
          total \leftarrow total + cache[j-1] \cdot x
7
          cache[j] \leftarrow total
8
     end for
9
     return total
```

At this point we can prove by induction that  $g(n,x) = x(1+x)^{n-1}$ :

**Basic case:** for n = 1 the loop completes one iteration in which it sets

$$total = 0 + cache[0] \cdot x = x = x(1+x)^{1-1}$$
(3)

Inductive case: given that  $g(n-1,x) = x(1+x)^{n-2}$  when running the algorithm for g(x,n) at the end of the n-1st iteration

$$cache[n-1] = total = x(1+x)^{n-2}$$
(4)

by the inductive hypothesis. The nth iteration sets

$$total = x(1+x)^{n-2} + x(1+x)^{n-2} \cdot x = x(1+x)^{n-2} \cdot (1+x) = x(1+x)^{n-1}$$
(5)

This proves that  $g(n,x) = x(1+x)^{n-1}$ , and consequently, the value returned by the full dynamic programming algorithm must be

$$f(R,C,y) = g(R,g(C,y)) = y(1+y)^{C-1} \left(1 + y(1+y)^{C-1}\right)^{R-1}$$
(6)